



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/682,280	08/13/2001	Carrie Brownhill	30GF9094	4830
7.	590 10/11/2005		EXAMINER .	
Gregory W. Carr			NGUYEN, THU HA T	
Carr & Storm, L.L.P. 900 Jackson Street			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
670 Founders S	Square	2155		
Dallas, TX 7:	5202	DATE MAILED: 10/11/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<u></u>							
Office Action Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		09/682,280		BROWNHILL ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	Thu Ha T. Nguyen	2155	felun on			
Period fo	or Reply						
WHIC - External after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANS IN THE MAIL	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	N. nely filed the mailing date of this c D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 7/25/	<u>05-8/18/05</u> .					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This action is non-final.							
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowar	nce except for formal matters, pro	secution as to the	e merits is			
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.				
Dispositi	ion of Claims						
4)⊠	. 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-6 and 8-10</u> is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-6 and 8-10</u> is/are rejected.						
	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)∟	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.					
Applicati	ion Papers						
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the postified period not received.							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
_							
Attachment	• •	-					
2) Notic							
3) 🔲 Inforr	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal Page 6) Other:	atent Application (PTC	D-152)			
	rademark Office	——————————————————————————————————————					

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims **1-6 and 8-10** are presented for examination.

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

2. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 08/18/05 has been entered.

Response to Arguments

- 3. Applicant's arguments filed July 25, 2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive because of the following reasons:
- 4. Applicant argues neither Diefes nor Logue discloses or suggest a second memory that stores service-portal data specific to said ACM or to a remote network server that stores data relating to the type of said ACM. In response to applicant's argument, the Patent Office maintains the rejection because Logue does teach the feature of a second memory operably connected to said second CPU for storing a service-portal database (figure 4, elements 461, 465, 425) containing a first set of service-portal data specific to said ACM and one or more links to a second set of service-portal data relating to the type of said ACM stored in a remote network server as

Application/Control Number: 09/682,280 Page 3

Art Unit: 2155

shown in figure 4, elements 461, 465, 425, 480, col. 5, lines 5-col. 6, lines 67, col. 8, line 60-col. 9, line 52.

5. As a result, cited prior art does disclose a service-portal enabled automation control module (ACM), as broadly claimed by the Applicants. Applicants clearly have still failed to identify specific claim limitations that would define a clearly patentable distinction over prior art.

Therefore, the examiner asserts that cited prior art teaches or suggests the subject matter broadly recited in independent claim 1. Claims 2-6 and 8-10 are also rejected at least by virtue of their dependency on independent claims and by other reasons set forth in this office action below Accordingly, claims 1-6 and 8-10 are rejected.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 09/682,280

Art Unit: 2155

7. Claims 1, 3-4, 6, and 8-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103 (a) as being unpatentable over **Logue** U.S. Patent No. **6,330606**, in view of **Diefes et al.** (hereinafter Diefes) U.S. Patent No. **5,534,875**.

Page 4

8. As to claim 1, **Logue** teaches the invention as claimed, including a service-portal enabled automation control module (ACM) of a type, said ACM comprising:

a first central processing unit (CPU) configured for processing automation control signals (figure 3, element 55, figure 4, element 430 – the NIC 55);

a first memory operably connected to said first CPU (figure 4, elements 420, 440);

a second CPU operably connected to said first CPU (figure 3, element 50, figure 4, element 410);

a second memory operably connected to said second CPU for storing a service-portal database (figure 4, elements 461, 465, 425) containing a first set of service-portal data specific to said ACM and one or more links to a second set of service-portal data relating to the type of said ACM stored in a remote network server (figure 4, elements 461, 465, 425, 480, col. 5, lines 5-col. 6, lines 67, col. 8, line 60-col. 9, line 52); and

a first network interface operably connected to said second CPU (figures 3, 4, element 54) and to a gateway configured for enabling said second CPU to communicate with said remote network server (figures 1, 3, 4, col. 3, lines 40-50 – the modem pool 2 coupled through a router (which can be interpreted as gateway) interfaces between

Art Unit: 2155

proxy 405 and remote site administrator 480 (which can be read as remote network server)).

However, **Logue** does not explicitly teach a first central processing unit (CPU) configured for receiving telemetry data. **Diefes** teaches a central processing unit configured for receiving telemetry data (col. 4, line 62-col. 5, line 15, col. 7, lines 30-48). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the Data Processing art at the time of the invention was made to combine the teachings of **Logue and Diefes** to include the feature of CPU configured for receiving telemetry data because it would provide an efficient communication system that reduces error from the received telemetry data and electronic signal.

- 9. As to claim 3, **Logue** teaches the invention as claimed, wherein said first memory stores a configuration file containing specific information on said service-portal enabled ACM (col. 5, lines 5-col. 6, lines 67, col. 7, lines 40-col. 8 lines 14).
- 10. As to claim 4, **Logue** teaches the invention as claimed, wherein said second CPU is configured for functioning as a network server (figures 3-4).
- 11. As to claim 6, **Logue** teaches the invention as claimed, wherein said gateway is configured for enabling said second CPU to communicate with said remote network server via Internet (figures 1, 3, 4, col. 3, lines 40-50 the modem pool 2 coupled

Page 6

Art Unit: 2155

through a router (which can be interpreted as gateway) interfaces between proxy 405 and remote site administrator 480 (which can be read as remote network server)).

- 12. As to claim 8, **Logue** teaches the invention as claimed, further comprising a user interface operably connected to said second CPU and configured for enabling a user to request said first set of service-portal data from said second memory and said second set of service-portal data from said remote network server (figures 1, 4, elements 461, 465, 425, 480, col. 5, lines 5-col. 6lines 67).
- 13. As to claim 9, **Logue** teaches the invention as claimed, wherein said second memory is configured for loading at least one Web browser to open Web pages stored in said second memory (figures 2, 4, col. 3, lines 63-col. 4, lines 15, col. 5 lines 5-66).
- 14. As to claim 10, **Logue** teaches the invention as claimed, further comprising: a third CPU operably connected to said gateway and configured for communicating with said second CPU and said remote network server via said gateway; and a third memory operably connected to said third CPU and configured for loading at least one Web browser to open Web pages stored in said second memory (figures 2, 4, col. 3, lines 63-col. 4, lines 15, col. 5 lines 5-66, col. 9, lines 55-col. 10 lines 29, col. 11, lines 25-27). WebTV client 1 having hardware/software for providing user with user interface to access network service, browse the web, access the Internet, it deems to be inherent

Art Unit: 2155

that WebTV client 1 have a CPU, a memory in order to process request, browse the web and load the desired web page.

- 15. Claims 2 and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103 (a) as being unpatentable over Logue U.S. Patent No. 6,330606, in view of Stephens, Jr. U.S. Patent No. 6,557,026.
- 16. As to claim 2, **Logue** does not explicitly teach the invention as claimed; however, **Stephens**, **Jr**. teaches: a backplane interface operably connected to said first CPU; an ACM backplane operably connected to said backplane interface; and an interface module operably connected to said ACM backplane (figure 1A, col. 5, lines 38-col. 6 lines 67). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the Data Processing art at the time of the invention was made to combine the teachings of **Logue and Stephens**, **Jr**. to include backplane interface and interface module because it would provide an efficient communications system that can monitor and control the standard peripheral devices.
- 17. As to claim 5, **Logue** teaches the invention as claimed, wherein said first network interface supports one or more low-level protocols including TCP/IP protocol (figure 1-4, col. 5, lines 17-30). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art that **Logue** teaches that client and server establish a connection so that they can send message back and forth which is obvious to one skill in the art that the system using

different protocols including TCP/IP protocol because it would provide an efficient system that for data transmission over networks.

Conclusion

- 18. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure:
- a) Ferreria et al. (USPN 6,857,009), discloses system and method for network access without reconfiguration.
- b) Schneider (USPN 6,901,436), discloses system and method for determining the availability of similar identifiers and registering these identifiers across multiple naming systems.
- c) Anderson et al. (USPN 6,938,079), discloses system and method for automatically configuring a client device.
- d) Theimer et al. (USPN 2003/0187812), discloses system and method for managing data records on a computer network.
- e) Chan et al. (USPN 6,178,461), discloses system and method for cachebased compaction technique for Internet browsing using similar objects in client cache as reference objects.
- 19. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thu Ha Nguyen, whose telephone number is (571) 272-

Application/Control Number: 09/682,280 Page 9

Art Unit: 2155

3989. The examiner can normally be reached Monday through Friday from 8:30 AM to 5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Najjar Saleh, can be reached at (571) 272-4006.

The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (571) 273-8300 for regular communications.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

ThuHa Nguyen Patent Examiner

Thuhanguyan

October 2, 2005